## Student Evaluation of Instruction Summer 2023 Session III 202305

	Course:	MKTG4818 E01 - Business Web Design	Department:	Marketing/	
	Responsible Faculty:	Sunil Hazari	Responses / Expected:	13 / 19 (68.42%)	
	Overall Mean:	4.6 4-Point Likert Scale w/NA (204 responses)			
Q1	- Explain what supported your le	earning in this course, and provide any suggestions for change.			
Re	sponse Rate: 76.92% (10 of 13	3)			
1	iwas very interested in taking t	his class becuase i belive that being able to build my own webs	te is a skill that would help me tremendous	sly in the bussiness world.	Q
2	good				Q
3	Using the textbook for discuss	ions had an internal part in my comprehension of class topics.			Q
4	The textbook was pretty much	all we needed. I am fine without lectures.			Q
5	The material				Q
6	The discussions really helped	drive home what was learned in the book. The book was also ver	y informative but easy to understand		Q
7	The discussions seeing how ev	veryone ones else dissected the documents helped me see diffe	rent things I missed when I read the inform	ation for myself.	Q
8	The detailed instructions and t	extbook supported my learning			Q
9	Many hands-on project to learr	n experience in web design			Q
10					Q

Q2	- Comment specifically on how texts, materials, assignments, and/or activities contributed to your learning.	
Res	sponse Rate: 76.92% (10 of 13)	
1	good	Q
2	being able to make my own website for a bussiness i make is gold to me	Q
3	The textbook allowed me to learn the best way to create an easily digestible website.	Q
	For, the class being as short as it is over the summer we shouldn't have to spend \$40+ on a textbook. An alternative for future classes would be nice.	
4	The textbook was just full of all new information. I didn't really know any of the stuff beforehand.	Q
5	The assignments gave a feeling of what it'd be like to do that kind of work in a job. They helped a lot	Q
6	The assignments was not hard to learn but tons of Information in one assignment so you learn a lot for the test	Q
7	Professor had everything we would need for the course on for on courseden	Q
8	It taught me new things.	Q
9	It really helps me a lot with understanding and to continue to improve on the learning I learned from this course.	Q
10		Q
		I

Q3 - Comment specifically on the instructor's overall effectiveness in this course.

Response Rate: 76.92% (10 of 13)

1	this instructoor was greet always positive and very helpful with making sure you understand the material	Q
2	good	Q
3	Very well	Q
4	Very effective	Q
5	Very Well, The instructions on the assignment were helpful and clear. The feedback was helpful.	Q
6	The professor was pretty communicative.	Q
7	The instructor did all that was needed in my opinion. It is not a tough class. The professor gives clear, good instructions and it is up to the students to follow them.	Q
8	Professor Hazari is very good at explaining and answers on time to help you if you need it	Q
9	He's a hard grader, but good.	Q
10		Q

QUANTITATIVE SECTION						мкт	G4818 -		Survey Comparisons					
		Responses						Cours	e		Ali			
		SA	A	A N	D SI	D N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ <sup>1</sup>	
Q4	I engaged with the course materials.	8	5	0	0 0	13	4.6	5	5	.49	630	4.6	=	
Q5	I did my best to prepare for and participate in this course.	8	4	1 (	0 0	13	4.5	5	5	.63	631	4.6	=	
Q6	I had access to the technology required for this course.	10	3	0	0 0	13	4.8	5	5	.42	631	4.8	=	
Q7	When I struggled in the course, I sought help.	6	3	1 (	0 0	10	4.5	5	5	.67	567	4.3	=	
Q8	Course activities helped me to learn the subject matter.	9	3	1 (	0 0	13	4.6	5	5	.62	629	4.6	=	
Q9	Course assignments helped me understand the subject matter.	8	4	1 (	0 0	13	4.5	5	5	.63	625	4.6	=	
Q10	The instructor presented the course content effectively.	9	3	1 (	0 0	13	4.6	5	5	.62	629	4.6	=	
Q11	Required course texts and/or materials helped me to understand the subject matter.	8	4	1 (	0 0	13	4.5	5	5	.63	631	4.6	=	
Q12	Assessments were reflective of course material.	7	4	1	1 0	13	4.3	5	5	.91	630	4.6	-	
Q13	Assessments required me to use problem solving, critical thinking, and/or creative thought.	8	5	0	0 0	13	4.6	5	5	.49	629	4.6	=	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

<sup>1</sup> This Course compared with others: [--]=0-10th [-]=10th-25th [=]=25th-75th [+]=75th-90th [++]=90th-100th Percentile Calculated vs. precise Mean

			Sunil Hazari											
QUANTITATIVE INSTRUCTOR			Res	por	ises	;	Individual							
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q14	The syllabus clearly outlined course expectations and evaluations of student work.	11	2	0	0	0	13	4.8	5	5	.36			
Q15	The instructor provided helpful feedback on assignments.	9	2	2	0	0	13	4.5	5	5	.75			
Q16	The instructor communicates effectively.	8	5	0	0	0	13	4.6	5	5	.49			
Q17	The instructor demonstrates respect for students.	9	3	1	0	0	13	4.6	5	5	.62			
Q18	The instructor is receptive and responsive to the sharing of ideas during course discussions.	8	4	1	0	0	13	4.5	5	5	.63			
Q19	The instructor offers and is available for individual assistance.	9	3	0	0	0	12	4.8	5	5	.43			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1